

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/733,138	12/08/2000	Rajan Mathew Lukose	1508/3150 (A0856)	2121
7590 01/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
Clinton Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 31051			3624	
Rochester, NY 14603			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R	/

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/733,138	LUKOSE, RAJAN MATHEW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel S Felten	3624		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on Period for Reply	the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed			
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  a application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Septemb	er 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted o				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	haan maaisad			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau (PCT)</li> </ol>	uments have been received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the of				
	ſ			
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/733,138

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant has made minor amendment that fall within the scope of the previously cited prior art. The Examiner disagrees with the assertion that Walker, Johnson and Ludgren, alone or in combination do not disclose or suggest the limitations enunciated by the applicant in the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph at the bottom of page 8. "providing from the seller... the information includes at least one condition about a least one contingency...." Walker suggests that a seller may provide modified conditions/counteroffers before binding the CPO (see Walker, col. 22, II. 40+). This falls within the definition of a contingency (although not explicitly enunciated by Walker). The Examiner also provided reasoning of how Walker could be readily modified by Johnson's latest technology to provide a contingency condition. Such reasoning could be an obvious extension of the teachings of Walker to include information provide by seller since Walker does use sellers input conditions within the negotiation process. Thus the combination of Walker, Johnson and Ludgren does suggest the limitations enunciated by the applicant and would be an obvious expedient well within the ordinary skill in the art.

#### Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S Felten whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/733,138

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S Felten Examiner Art Unit 3624

DSF January 07, 2005

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vines Melli